MOBILE PHONE UTILIZATION FOR LEARNING: PHYSICS TEACHERS' PERCEPTION
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Abstract: The use of mobile phones for learning is still becoming a debate in Indonesia. Many schools forbid their students to bring mobile phones to school. This study was aimed to describe the perceptions of physics teachers in Lampung Province regarding the use of mobile phones to study physics. Data was collected from 39 physics teachers from various districts in Lampung Province. The Likert scale with a range of 1 to 5 was used. Descriptive quantitative data analysis was carried out. The results showed that most teachers agree /strongly agree: (1) to utilize mobile phones in learning physics both inside and outside of the classroom, (2) the tendency of students to use mobile phones make the physics learning process efficient. It could strengthen and improve the quality of the learning process. Almost all physics teachers agree /strongly agree with mobile phones usage, because: (1) it could turn the learning process from teacher-centered into student-centered and from content-oriented learning to process-oriented learning, (2) it could improve efficiency and productivity in the learning process. However, they agreed that the use of mobile phones by students could not replace the teacher's role, so physics teachers needed a more sophisticated concept of cellular learning to be implemented.

INTRODUCTION

In response to 21\textsuperscript{st}-century skills such as critical thinking, creative thinking, collaboration, and communication (4C), the instructional model is needed to be innovated (BSNP, 2010). Therefore, various efforts were needed, including providing facilities, systems and learning resources that can optimize student competencies to learn through a scientific approach in accordance with the directives of the 2013 Curriculum. Education in the industrial revolution era 4.0 needs to be carried out in accordance with the characteristics of the millennial generation. They tend to be online and digital platform users, always connected to social media, fast response in capturing information, progressive, like innovation, and high curiosity.

The high demands that previously described were not in line with the empirical facts. Observation results indicated that physics learning in high school was still centered on the teacher. The teacher was still busy explaining and training students to solve problems. The scientific approach was only a lively discussion without optimal realization because teachers still face obstacles. Whereas the scientific approach was considered as a golden bridge to achieve 21st-century skills desired. The results of interviews with several high school physics teachers in Lampung Province showed that teachers still had difficulties in applying the scientific approach because of the limited teaching time. We offered the solution to this problem through the mobile learning system as a learning supplement. Many papers also support the use of mobile phones as m-learning devices (Makoe & Shandu, 2018; Mohammad, 2017; Pujiastuti, 2018;...
Thinley, Reye, & Geva, 2014). However, the use of mobile phones both inside and outside the classroom was still becoming a debate in Indonesia. Many schools forbid their students to bring mobile phones to school. Mobile phones are considered to make students distracted and the learning process becomes disrupted.

M-learning is a type of mobile technology learning devices, which is characterized by its existence everywhere. The definition of cellular learning has passed through different perspectives, starting from the perspective that focuses on the technology used for learning to theories that regard mobile learning as student-centered learning, context, and mobility (Mendez, Mendez, & Anguita, 2018). Many types of research that already conducted related to m-learning was discussing about mobile-technology devices, student mobility improvement, learning process dynamics, and information transfer (El-Hussein, Osman, & Cronje, 2010). Android-based m-learning was effectively proved to be used in physics learning so that it affected student learning outcomes become increased (Ahmed & Parsons, 2013; Taufik & Kristanto, 2018). A positive correlation between the use of cellular technology and learning outcomes was also investigated, where the use of high cellular technology would create high learning outcomes as well (Zhai, Zhang, Li, & Zhang, 2019). Besides being able to improve learning outcomes, other research showed that using smartphones on students could help them understand concepts, facilitate argumentation and exchange opinions. The use of m-learning technology was generally considered to be easy and interesting for students (Mendez & Slisko, 2013). Research conducted on 14-year-old students by comparing learning science using tablets with textbooks and workbooks at school and home, the results show students who learn to use tablets were more intrinsically motivated: interests and satisfaction with the tasks they do, how they see their competency to use the tools needed to learn, and the value of the assignments they do, better than students who use textbooks and workbooks (Mendez, Mendez, & Anguita, 2018).

The physics m-learning application could be used as a supporting medium for high school students. Other researchers stated the use of media with the Android operating system can be used as a media to support physics learning (Ishak, Astra, Permana, Azizah, Widyanirmala, & Nugraha, 2014). M-learning can make students learn easier wherever and whenever. Students could access learning materials anytime and anywhere, so students have better concept mastery of learning material. It also makes students feel challenged and it creates a positive learning atmosphere. The m-learning media can be developed with a multimedia format that presents text, images, audio and animation, even experimental simulations and interactive question exercises. Abstract concepts in physics can be visualized with the help of simulations and applied in m-learning to encourage the realization of active, innovative, creative, effective, fun, happy and weighted learning.

The various benefits and advantages of using a mobile phone as described above contradict the regulations of some schools that forbid students to bring mobile phones to school. Therefore, the perception of physics teachers regarding the use of mobile phones for learning becomes very important. This certainly relates to teachers' perceptions about the use of mobile phones for learning. Research on teacher perceptions of mobile phone use has been conducted in Taiwan (Hsieh, & Tsai, 2017) and in Mumbai India (2017), but in Indonesia, especially in physics teachers in Lampung
Province, it has never been done. Research on the perception of the use of mobile phones for learning, especially learning physics is very important because it will involve the policy of whether students bring mobile phones to school. Though from the results of the research described above, it is very clear that mobile phones are very useful for learning physics. This study was aimed to describe the perceptions of physics teachers in Lampung Province regarding the use of mobile phones in physics learning.

METHODS

This research used a quantitative descriptive method to describe the perceptions of physics teachers in Lampung Province regarding the use of mobile phones in physics learning during face-to-face hours, during the outside classroom, and outside of face-to-face hours, both utilization by teachers and students. The teachers that becoming the respondents were teachers from various districts in Lampung Province who already had experience using mobile phones in teaching physics. Data collection instruments were a 1-5 Likert scale questionnaire. Score 1 indicates strongly disagree and score 5 indicates strongly agree. Data were collected using a web-based survey that was sent via WhatsApp to 39 respondents of physics teachers in Lampung Province. Data were analyzed by calculating the frequency of categorized answers for each scale. Respondents' perceptions are inferred from the choice of answers agree and strongly agree. The consistency of perception was examined using a bivariate correlation test among selected perceptions.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A small proportion (33.3%) of physics teachers in Lampung province stated that they used Information and Communication Technology (ICT) very often to study physics while almost half (48.7%) stated sometimes. However, there were still 2.6% of physics teachers who had never used ICT in teaching physics. The perception of physics teachers towards the use of ICT and mobile phones in teaching was presented in Table 1. Almost all respondents (92%) agreed and strongly agreed to use ICT in teaching physics, both in the form of using mobile phones outside the classroom (82%) or inside the classroom (74%).

Table 1. Attitudes regarding the use of ICT and mobile phones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>SDA</th>
<th>DA</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>SA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Agreement on the use of ICT in teaching physics</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>56.4%</td>
<td>35.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Approval of students using mobile phones in learning physics outside class</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>48.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Approval of students using mobile phones in learning physics in-class hour</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>23.1%</td>
<td>46.2%</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
SDA: Strongly disagree
DA: Disagree
LA: Less Agree
A: Agree
SA: Strongly agree

Results of the bivariate correlation test showed that there was a low correlation of 0.31 between the frequency of teachers teaching physics with an agreement on the use of ICT in teaching physics, and also a low correlation of 0.28 between the approval of students using mobile phones in learning physics outside
hours’ classroom with using mobile phones on hours’ class. Teachers who often use ICT-based media in the learning process still had not agreed to use mobile phones in the classroom. Physics teacher's perceptions toward the use of ICT was consistent with the perceptions towards the use of mobile phones outside the classroom as indicated by the correlation of 0.44 (rather low) between the agreement on the use of ICT in teaching physics with approval of students using mobile phones in learning physics outside class. The results of this study were in line with the results of research on teachers in Kentucky and Tennessee. The study examined the perceptions of 1,121 teachers in Kentucky and Tennessee to determine their support for mobile phone use in the classroom, as well as their perceptions about mobile phone features that were useful for school-related work and teaching barriers to mobile phone. The results show that there were slightly more teachers who did not support mobile phone use in the classroom compared to those who support their use, with about half more teachers reporting uncertainty. The teachers consider access to the Internet, educational applications, calculators, calendars, and the ability to play podcasts as mobile phone features/functions that are most useful for classroom use. Also, they identified fraud, access to inappropriate information on the Internet, cyberbullying, and disturbances as the main barriers to using mobile phones in the classroom (Thomas, O’Bannon, & Britt, 2014).

### Table 2. Physics teacher's perception of the benefits of using a mobile phone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>SDA</th>
<th>DA</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>SA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The use of mobile phones to learn physics can meet students' preferences</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
<td>51.3%</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The use of mobile phones by students to learn, make the process of learning physics becomes efficient</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
<td>43.6%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>The use of mobile phones by students and teachers strengthens and improves the quality of the learning process</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td>55.3%</td>
<td>28.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The opinions of physics teachers regarding the benefits of using mobile phones are presented in Table 2. More than 75% of physics teachers agree and strongly agree that the use of mobile phones to learn physics can meet students' preferences. In accordance with the characteristics of the millennial generation, high school students are native users of online/digital platforms, are always connected to social media, are quick to capture information, are progressive, love innovation, and have a high level of curiosity. Through mobile phones, students can access all kinds of teaching materials. Moreover, mobile phones that contain learning applications that are accompanied by games, will certainly better meet the desires of students. The mobile phone was also used not only in physics but also in other subjects, including English. The analysis showed that the performance in vocabulary acquisition and retention by the experimental group using the mobile English vocabulary learning application designed with game-related functions was significantly higher than the control group that did not use the game (Chen, Liu, & Huang, 2019). Students used their mobile phones to access 2 types of OSRSs (online students’ response system): a classic and a game-based OSRS. Student results show that both systems increase participation and involvement. Game-based OSRS is preferred over classic OSRS (Wang, Ran, Huang, & Swigart, 2019).
More than 75% of physics teachers agree and strongly agree on the use of mobile phones by students to learn, making the process of learning physics efficient. Teachers can provide teaching materials easily via mobile phones directly, or through Learning System (LMS) mobile learning. M-learning can provide teaching materials, broadcast material, practice questions, exams, virtual application experiments, discussion forums, chat, and even attendance lists. Perhaps because of this fact 84.2% of physics teachers agreed and strongly agreed that the use of mobile phones by students and teachers, strengthens and improves the quality of the learning process. Correlation test results showed the statement of the use of mobile phones by students to learn, make the process of learning physics become efficient correlate 0.76 (moderate) with the belief that the use of mobile phones by students and teachers, strengthens and improves the quality of the learning process. This belief is supported by many research findings which state that m-learning strengthens and improves the quality of the learning process(Khan, Radzuan, Alkhunaizan, Mustafa, & Khan, 2019; Mughal, Atkins, Morrow, & Al-Jundi, 2018; Njenga, Oboko, Omwenga, & Maina, 2018).

Physics teachers’ opinions about the role of mobile phones in the physics learning process are presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Physics teacher's perception about the role of mobile phone use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>SDA</th>
<th>DA</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>SA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The use of mobile phones by students and teachers in the physics learning process breaks away from traditional teaching</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>35.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The use of mobile phones by students expands learning outside of school</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>38.5%</td>
<td>53.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>The use of mobile phones by students can change the physics learning process from teacher-centered learning to student-centered learning</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>46.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>The use of mobile phones by students can change from content-oriented learning to process-oriented learning</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>48.7%</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Almost all respondents have the same perception about mobile phones, namely the use of mobile phones by students, can change the physics learning process from teacher-centered learning to student-centered learning and can change from content-oriented learning to process-oriented learning. The teacher is no the only source of information for students. Physics teacher's perception in Lampung Province regarding the use of mobile phones for the learning process is in line with the thinking of teachers in Taiwan, according to them, mobile learning: 1) meets student preferences, 2) organizes classes with efficiency, 3) strengthens and enhances learning, 4) separates from teaching traditional, 5) centered on student ownership, and 6) expand learning outside of school (Hsieh & Tsai, 2017).

Table 4. Suggestions of physics teachers regarding the use of mobile phones for the learning process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>SDA</th>
<th>DA</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>SA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I suggest the use of technology in learning physics especially to improve efficiency and productivity</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>56.4%</td>
<td>43.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Need to develop more sophisticated mobile learning concepts among teachers</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>35.9%</td>
<td>56.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>The use of mobile phones by students in the learning process cannot replace the teacher's role</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>30.8%</td>
<td>61.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Teachers who think that students' use of mobile phones can change from teacher-centered learning to student-centered and students' use of mobile phones can change from content-oriented learning to learning-oriented processes, suggesting the use of technology in physics learning especially to improve efficiency and productivity. Physics teachers who agreed and strongly agreed that students use mobile phones to study physics outside of face-to-face hours and in face-to-face hours in class suggested that it was necessary to develop more sophisticated cellular learning concepts among teachers. The opinions of physics teachers are in line with what is recommended by (Hsieh & Tsai, 2017) so that mobile devices can use transformative power in learning, it may be necessary to develop more sophisticated mobile learning concepts among teachers.

**CONCLUSION**

Based on the results of data analysis, most teachers agreed/strongly agreed: (1) to utilize mobile phones in learning physics both inside and outside classroom, (2) the tendency of students to use mobile phones make the physics learning process efficient, it could strengthen and improve the quality of the learning process. Almost all physics teachers agree /strongly agree with mobile phones usage, because: (1) it could turn the learning process from teacher-
centered into student-centered and from content-oriented learning to process-oriented learning, (2) it could improve efficiency and productivity in the learning process. Almost all physics teachers agree/strongly agree to recommend the use of technology in physics learning especially to improve efficiency and productivity, and state that it is necessary to develop more sophisticated cellular learning concepts among teachers. However, they agreed that the use of mobile phones by students in the learning process could not replace the teacher's role. Teachers' perceptions about the benefits of mobile phones in the learning process are positively correlated with the commitment to use mobile phones to improve the quality of the learning process and learning outcomes.

It is recommended that principals in high schools do not prohibit their students from bringing mobile phones to school as long as they are used for learning. Teachers should learn various mobile phone-based learning applications to optimize learning for students.
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